

Kentucky law says . . . re: U of L and Abortion



Whether U of L has violated the letter of Kentucky law pertaining to abortion, they certainly have violated the spirit of the law.

Here's just one part of Kentucky law which they have, at best, skillfully flaunted, and at worst, willfully broken:

KRS 311:715 (1) Public agency funds shall not be used for the purpose of obtaining an abortion or paying for the performance of an abortion.

Clearly, there are two parts of KRS 311:715 — no public policy funds for:

- 1) the purpose of obtaining an abortion
- 2) paying for the performance of an abortion

IF U of L is working with (and spending money in so-doing) the University of California's Bixby Center for Global Reproductive Health and its Ryan Residency Training Program, then it is indeed training abortionists and engaging in their recruiting of such for the abortion clinic industry — they are, by definition, “obtaining an abortion” for . . . *whoever*. And . . .

IF U of L is paying Dr. Tanya E. Franklin and Dr. Ashlee Bergin, both professors at U of L's School of medicine and Kentucky's only two surgical abortionists (by their own description), then U of L funds are “paying for the performance of an abortion” (or abortions).

How is a leading Kentucky state university so out of touch with the STATE of Kentucky?